

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/632,910	08/04/2003	Remo Corghi	CORGHI13	2879	
1444 7:	590 09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			SHAKERI, HADI		
624 NINTH ST SUITE 300	REET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, DC 20001-5303		3723		

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	$\circ$ V			
Office Action Summary		10/632,910		CORGHI, REMO	<i>(</i> ''			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	,	Hadi Shak		3723				
The MAILING D	OATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specification of the specification of the specific spec	TUTORY PERIOD FOR OF THIS COMMUNICA vailable under the provisions of 37 the mailing date of this communic de above is less than thirty (30) daiffed above, the maximum statutor to rextended period for reply will, fice later than three months after tent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation. 19s, a reply within the statut prioriod will apply and will by statute. cause the application.	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status								
1) Responsive to o	communication(s) filed o	n						
2a) ☐ This action is FI	_	☐ This action is no	on-final.					
3) Since this applie								
	dance with the practice ι							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-20</u>	is/are pending in the app	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-20</u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification	n is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
<i>,</i> — ·	☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	t request that any objection							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	wing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).			
· ·	aration is objected to by							
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
a)⊠ All b)□ Sor  1.⊠ Certified c  2.□ Certified c  3.□ Copies of application	copies of the priority doc copies of the priority doc the certified copies of the n from the International	cuments have been cuments have been he priority documer Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applications nts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
* See the attached	detailed Office action for	or a list of the certifi	ed copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)			лП., <u>.</u>	(DTO 440)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cite</li> <li>D Notice of Draftsperson's I</li> </ol>		.048)	<ol> <li>Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da</li> </ol>	(PTO-413) ite				
Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03</u>	atement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	D/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)			

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of legal phraseology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 5, line 11, "pin 11" should be changed to, --pin 111--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 12-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the axis" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3723

Claim 12 recites the limitation "said tire bead" in line 10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The language as written in claim 12, e.g., "the opposite direction" in line 11, renders the claim indefinite, since it is unclear whether a direction opposite to the perpendicular direction, or a direction oriented opposite to the center is being claimed.

Further regarding claim 12, "means" enabling the tool as recited in lines 6-12, is vague. It appears Applicant is attempting to claim both embodiments (e.g. Fig. 4 and Fig. 11) however, the language as recited for claiming both positions, i.e., gripping and extracting, is improper since both of these positions are met by the tool being in the perpendicular position (line 8, and line 11), which would not enable the extracting function. It is also noted that to claim such functions, two means are required, i.e., positioning means (100) and positioning/operation means (200).

Further regarding claim 12, even thought characters in parentheses are not considered in a claim, in this case they create ambiguity. In line 4, Applicant is claiming a "rotary means" which would referee to the rotary shaft (3) and not the support and locking means (4) as defined by the specification (e.g., page 4, line 2). Further in line 15, connection "rod" is characterized by "16", "20" and "25", wherein (16) is defined by the specification as cylinder-piston unit (page 5, line 1), and (20) is defined as a profile plate, same page line 4.

Further the language, lines 13-18, which is considered to be directed to the subject matter, appearing to be patentable over prior art of record is not recited clearly and precisely to define the invention. Further the advancing and withdrawing means (10) is defined as part of the operating means (200), and as such the claim language appears to recite a means already claimed earlier, which would add to the ambiguities.

Applicant may wish to amend the claim to recite:

Art Unit: 3723

An automatic device for demounting a tire (6) from a wheel rim (5), comprising: a frame (7) defining a longitudinal axis,

rotary and support means (3, 4) for rotating and supporting the wheel rim and the tire, said means being associated with said frame,

a demounting tool (15) operatively connected to a first positing/operating means (100) for positioning said tool in a seeking and gripping position in which the tool is parallel to said longitudinal axis or orientated in a first direction towards a center of the wheel rim for gripping a tire bead, and a second positing/operating means (200) for operating said tool for extracting said tire bead from the wheel rim in which the tool is positioned parallel to said longitudinal axis or orientated in a direction opposite to said first direction,

wherein an intermediate portion of said tool is hinged to an end of a bar (14), said first positioning/operating means further including a connecting rod (16, 25), and wherein one end of said tool is hinged to said connecting rod, an opposite end of said connecting rod being coupled to said bar by a lever linkage (21), and

said second positing/operating means further including an advancing and withdrawing means (10), and wherein said bar (14) further being fixed to said advancing and withdrawing means.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 12-20 (as best understood) would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: a demounting tool hinged to the bar at an intermediate portion and to a connecting rod which is

Art Unit: 3723

further coupled to the bar, and wherein the bar is further coupled to the advancing and withdrawing means in addition to the other limitations recited places the claims (as best understood) allowable over prior art of record.

#### **Conclusion**

8. Prior art made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mimura, Corghi, Bonacini, Schon et al., Houston et al., and Furrer are cited to show related inventions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hadi Shakeri whose telephone number is 703-308-6279. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hadi Shakeri

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

September 3, 2004